1			
2	United States District Court		
3	DISTRICT OF NEVADA Courtney Motley,		
4	Plaintiff,	CASE No.: 2:21-cv-02075-GMN-BNW	
5	V.	JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER	
6 7	M. Malta, an individual; C. Miranda, an individual,		
8	Defendants.		
9	After pretrial proceedings in this case.	 , IT IS ORDERED:	
10	I.		
11	This action is for: Alleged violations of Plaintiff Courtney Motley's ("Motley") Federa		
12	Constitutional Rights, brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, for excessive force and retaliation		
13	Furthermore, this action is for alleged violations of Nevada state law for assault and battery.		
14	This case arises from two separate altercations between Motley—an incarcerated man a		
15	the Clark County Detention Center (" <i>CCDC</i> ")—and two Corrections Officers—Matthew Malt		
16	(" <i>Ofc. Malta</i> ") and Carlos Miranda (" <i>Ofc. M</i>	iranda'').	
17		II.	
18	Statement of jurisdiction: This action arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, insofar as it relates to		
19	Motley's Federal Constitutional Claims. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Motley'		
20	state law claims pursuant to 28. U.S.C. § 1367(a).		
21	m.		
22	The following facts are admitted by the parties and require no proof:		
23	1. At the time of the allegations giving rise to this action, Motley was an incarcerate		
24	man at CCDC.		
25	2. At the time of the allegations g	iving rise to this action, Ofc. Malta and Ofc. Miranda	
26	were corrections officers at CCDC.		
27	3. On April 4, 2022, Motley pl	eaded guilty to an unlawful act related to humar	
28	excrement or bodily fluid (Gross Misdemean	or) in violation of NRS 212.189.	

IV.

# The following facts, though not admitted, will not be contested at trial by evidence to the contrary:

None at this time.

V.

### The following are the issues of fact to be tried and determined at trial:

- 1. Whether on September 17-18, 2021, Motley intentionally or accidentally spilled a cup of his own urine on Ofc. Malta.
- 2. Whether on September 17-18, 2021, Motley intentionally or accidentally spilled a cup of water on Ofc. Malta.
- 3. Whether in response to either allegedly intentionally or accidentally spilling water or urine on Ofc. Malta, or unprovoked on September 17-18, 2021, Ofc. Malta intentionally grabbed Motley's arm, slammed his hand and fingers in the food trap, and threatened to kill his family.
- 4. Whether the days after the September 17-18, 2021, incident, Ofc. Malta retaliated against Motley for filing a grievance by depriving him of meals.
- 5. Whether when Motley was allegedly deprived of his meals, such deprivation was in retaliation for Motley's grievance filings against the Defendant Officers, or whether it was in response to Motley's disruptive behavior.
- 6. Whether on September 24, 2021, Motley or his cell neighbor were flooding their cells.
- 7. Whether on September 24, 2021, when Defendant Ofcs. Malta and Miranda approached Motley's cell he threw urine-soaked towels through his food trap door., in an attempt to strike the officers or simply to remove urine-mixed water out of his cell.
- 8. Whether on September 24, 2021, when Defendant Ofcs. Malta and Miranda approached Motley's cell, he attempted to take Ofc. Malta's duty belt.
- 9. Whether on September 24, 2021, after Motley was allegedly unable to take Ofc. Malta's duty belt, he spit on the officers and said, "I'm gonna take your taser bitch!"
- 10. Whether on September 24, 2021, Ofc. Malta and Ofc. Miranda intentionally slammed Motley's hand and fingers in the food trap, kicked his arm, and punched him in the face.

# 

# 

VI.

### The following are the issues of law to be tried and determined at trial:

- 1. Whether Ofc. Malta's alleged actions related to the September 17-18, 2021; altercation constitute excessive force in violation of Motley's Fourteenth Amendment Rights.
- 2. Whether Ofc. Malta's alleged actions in depriving Motley of meals after a grievance was filed after the September 17-18, 2021, altercation constitute retaliation, in violation of his First Amendment Rights.
- 3. Whether Ofc. Malta's and Ofc. Miranda's alleged actions relating to the September 24, 2021, incident constitute excessive force in violation of Motley's Fourteenth Amendment Rights.
- 4. Whether Ofc. Malta intended to cause harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff's person, and whether Plaintiff was in apprehension of such contact in reference to the September 17-18, 2021, altercation.
- 5. Whether Ofc. Malta intended to cause harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff's person, and whether such contact did occur in reference to the September 17-18, 2021, altercation.
- 6. Whether Ofc. Malta and Ofc. Miranda intended to cause harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff's person, and whether Plaintiff was in apprehension of such contact in reference to the September 24, 2021, altercation.
- 7. Whether Ofc. Malta and Ofc. Miranda intended to cause harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff's person, and whether such contact did occur in reference to the September 24, 2021, altercation.
- 8. Whether Ofc. Malta's alleged actions related to the September 17-18, 2021, altercation was intentional, malicious, or with evil intent, justifying punitive damages.
- 9. Whether Ofc. Malta's and Ofc. Miranda's alleged actions related to the September 24, 2021, altercation was intentional, malicious, or with evil intent, justifying punitive damages.

VII.

(a) The following exhibits are stipulated into evidence in this case and may be so marked by the clerk:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

13

12

14

1516

17

18

19

# 20

# 21

22

2324

25

2627

28

Exhibit	Description	Bates No.
1	CCDC Staff Request and	LVMPD000010-
	Grievance Records	LVMPD000058
2	CCDC Inmate History	LVMPD000089-
	Reports	LVMPD000101
3	Declaration of Arrest	LVMPD000102-
		LVMPD000105
4	Judgment of Conviction	LVMPD000106-
		LVMPD000107
5	Officer Incident Reports	LVMPD000108-
	(September 17-18, 2021)	LVMPD000114
6	Officer Incident Reports	LVMPD000115-
	(September 24, 2021)	LVMPD000116
7	Plaintiff's WellPath Medical	LVMPD000118-
	Records	LVMPD000417

# (b) As to the following exhibits, the party against whom the same will be offered objects to their admission on the grounds stated:

- (1) Plaintiff's exhibits and objections: None.
- (2) Defendants exhibits and objections: None.

# (c) Electronic evidence:

At this time, the parties do not anticipate submitting electronic evidence to the Court at the time of trial, but respectfully reserve the right to do so if the need arises.

- (d) **Depositions**: None.
- (e) **Objections to depositions**: None.

### The following witnesses may be called by either of the parties at trial:

- (a) Courtey Motleyc/o John J. Pictum III, Esq.Holley Driggs300 S. Fourth St., Suite 1600Las Vegas, Nevada 89011
- (b) Ofc. Matthew Malta, CO # 18565 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department c/o Robert Freeman and E. Matthew Freeman Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

1	(c) Ofc. Carlos Miranda, CO #17905
2	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department c/o Robert Freeman and E. Matthew Freeman
3	Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
5	(d) Ofc. B. Diaz, CO # 16294
6	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department c/o Robert Freeman and E. Matthew Freeman
7	Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
9	(e) Custodian of Records and/or Person Most Knowledgeable Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
10	c/o Robert Freeman and E. Matthew Freeman
11	Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
13	(f) Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) – Training Policies, Practices, and Customs Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
14	c/o Robert Freeman and E. Matthew Freeman Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
15	6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600
16	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
17	(g) Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) – Hiring Policies, Practices, and Customs Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
18	c/o Robert Freeman and E. Matthew Freeman Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
19	6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600
20	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
21	(h) Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) – Supervision, Practices, and Customs Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
22	c/o Robert Freeman and E. Matthew Freeman Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
23	6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
24	
25	VIII.
26	The attorneys or parties have met and jointly offer these three trial dates:
27	May 6-10, 2024 June 3-7, 2024 July 22-26, 2024
28	

#### Case 2:21-cv-02075-GMN Document 55 Filed 01/11/24 Page 6 of 6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

It is expressly understood by the undersigned that the court will set the trial of this matter on one of the agreed-upon dates if possible; if not, the trial will be set at the convenience of the court's calendar. IX. It is estimated that the trial will take a total of three to four days. Approved as to Form and Content: Dated: January 11, 2024. Dated: January 11, 2024. **HOLLEY DRIGGS** LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH /s/ John Pictum /s/ Matt Freeman JOHN J. PICTUM III ROBERT W. FREEMAN Nevada Bar No. 15979 Nevada Bar No. 3062 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1600 E. MATTHEW FREEMAN Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Nevada Bar No. 14198 Attorney for Plaintiff 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Attorney for Defendants Corrections Officer Matthew Malta Corrections Officer Carlos Miranda X. ACTION BY THE COURT This case is set for a jury trial on the stacked calendar on 5/6/2024 at 8:30 a.m. Calendar Call will be held on 4/30/2024 at 9:00 a.m. This pretrial order has been approved by the parties to this action as evidenced by their signatures or the signatures of their attorneys hereon, and the order is hereby entered and will govern the trial of this case. This order may be amended except by court order and based upon the parties' agreement or to prevent manifest injustice. DATED: January 11, 2024 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE